

## **ADDENDUM 3**

### **RFP 148 Chicago Street Corridor Plan for the City of Joliet**

Q1: Requesting clarification for the “Deliverables” section on page 6 of the RFP: “The consultant should provide a minimum of two interim deliverables and two final deliverables for City staff, CMAP, and the advisory group to review and provide feedback.” – does this mean two copies of the interim deliverable and 2 copies of the final deliverable, or does it mean there will be a total of four separate deliverables at different dates?

A1: We expect consultants to provide a minimum of one interim deliverable and one final deliverable for each component, i.e. one interim and one final deliverable for Component 1 and one interim and one final deliverable for Component 2.

#### **Interim deliverable for Component 1:**

- Right-of-way analysis documenting current physical condition, challenges, and opportunities for the entire Chicago Street corridor. (Task 1)
- Preliminary analysis of intersections identified in task 2 including vehicular, bicycle, pedestrian, and transit challenges. (Task 2)
- Preliminary concepts for right-of-way design and streetscape improvements for reconnected Chicago Street. (Task 3)

#### **Interim deliverable Component 2:**

- Preliminary concepts for the redevelopment of Van Buren Plaza/Central Square (the existing plaza and its expansion onto the adjacent Will County State’s Attorney’s Office property). This should be accompanied by preliminary analysis documenting current physical condition, challenges, and opportunities for redevelopment of the Van Buren Plaza/Central Square. (Task 5)

#### **Final deliverable for Component 1:**

- Recommendations for Task 1 should be accompanied by the following:
  - Street section diagrams for the proposed design variations.
  - A detailed streetscape plan illustrating all proposed improvements for the block of Chicago Street between Route 30 (Cass Street) and Webster Street.
  - Order of magnitude costs for proposed improvements.
- Recommendations for Task 2 should be accompanied by the following:
  - Detailed diagrams (short of actual engineering drawings) of improvements proposed for two intersections: (1) Chicago Street and Route 30 (Cass Street) and (2) Chicago Street and Webster Street.
  - Bird’s eye conceptual rendering illustrating improvements proposed for two intersections: (1) Chicago Street and Route 30 (Cass Street) and (2) Chicago Street and Webster Street.
  - Order of magnitude costs for proposed improvements.
- Recommendations for Task 3 should be accompanied by the following:
  - A detailed plan view and street section diagram illustrating recommended improvements to the proposed re-opened block.
  - A conceptual street-level rendering, contrasting current conditions with improvements proposed for the reconnected block.
- Recommendations for Task 4 should be accompanied by the following:
  - An overall plan view of all proposed changes for the entire corridor of Chicago Street between Washington Street and Ruby Street, including the proposed re-opened block between Jefferson Street and Washington Street, as well as the redeveloped Van Buren Plaza/Central Square (Task 5).

#### **Final deliverable for Component 2:**

- Recommendations for Task 5 should be accompanied by the following:
  - A conceptual design for a redeveloped Van Buren Plaza/Central Square, using

- detailed plan view and cross-section diagrams (short of actual engineering drawings) and a bird's-eye conceptual rendering of potential improvements.
- Opinion of Probable Costs (OPC) for proposed improvements.

Q2: Further to this point, also on page 6, it states “The final memo should include recommendations for each of Tasks 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 described in the project description”....is the ‘final memo’ the equivalent for the final deliverable?

A2: Yes.